November 18, 2003

Ms. Lisa R. McBride Bracewell & Patterson, L.L.P. 711 Louisiana Street, Suite 2900 Houston, Texas 77002-2781

OR2003-8276

Dear Ms. McBride:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 191259.

The Humble Independent School District (the "district"), which you represent, received a request for information asking

- 1. During your employment at Business Manager [sic] for Humble I.S.D. how much money was spent on Power Factor Correction Capacitors? (PFC)
- 2. Who at Humble I.S.D. initiated the energy conservation measure of utilizing PFC's?
- 3. Enclosed is a list of the schools with PFC's through 1996. Please indicate the cost of the PFC's on this list and the cost of additional or replacement/repair PFC's since 1996.
- 4. Please furnish the amount of money paid the Blackmon-Mooring Co. to clean the ductwork at Kingwood Middle School.
- 5. Please furnish the date of payment on above reference [sic] duct cleaning.

You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.¹

We first note that the request asks the district to answer factual questions. This office has stated on numerous occasions that the Public Information Act does not require governmental bodies to answer factual questions or perform legal research. See, e.g., Open Records Decision Nos. 563 at 8 (1990), 555 at 1-2 (1990) (construing statutory predecessor). A governmental body must only make a good faith effort to relate a request to information that it holds. See Open Records Decision No. 561 at 8 (1990) (construing statutory predecessor). We assume that the district has made a good faith effort to relate the entirety of the request, including the factual questions, to information the district maintains.

We turn now to your arguments. Section 552.103 of the Government Code provides in part:

- (a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.
- (c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

Gov't Code § 552.103(a), (c). A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that section 552.103 is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the governmental body received the request, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. Thomas v. Cornyn, 71 S.W.3d 473, 487 (Tex. App.—Austin 2002, no pet.); University of Tex. Law Sch. v. Texas Legal Found., 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); Heard v. Houston Post Co., 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open

¹We assume that the sample of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). The governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under 552.103(a).

In this case, you inform us that at the time the district received this request, it was a party to a lawsuit filed by the requestor. In support of your arguments, you have provided us with a copy of the petition that was filed in this suit prior to the district's receipt of the request. You advise that the "lawsuit has not been resolved through settlement or mediation." We therefore find that you have met the first prong of the section 552.103 test. Furthermore, after reviewing your arguments and the submitted representative sample of information, we agree that this type of information relates to the pending litigation for the purposes of section 552.103(a). We therefore conclude that information represented by the submitted samples may generally be withheld pursuant to section 552.103.

We note, however, that once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Thus, information to which all parties in the pending suit have had access is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a), and it must be disclosed. Further, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has been concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one

of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Denis C. McElroy

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

DCM/lmt

Ref: ID# 191259

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Howard Kucera 622 Crenshaw Road Pasadena, Texas 77504 (w/o enclosures)